

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/10/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/685,555	10/16/2003	Andy K.C. Ng	NG-4	4935
32132 7:	590 06/10/2004		EXAM	INER
LAMORTE & ASSOCIATES P.C.			FRANCIS, FAYE	
P.O. BOX 434 YARDLEY, P	A 19067		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Tricobbi, T	17001		3712	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/685,555	NG, ANDY K.C.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Faye Francis	3712				
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a stion. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n					
•						
3) Since this application is in condition for a						
closed in accordance with the practice u	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the appli	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the application from the International Int	uments have been received. uments have been received in A le priority documents have beer Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Cher:						

Application/Control Number: 10/685,555 Page 2

Art Unit: 3712

DETAILED ACTION

On Friday May 21, 2004 Mr. Eric Lamorte provisionally elected with traverse to
prosecute claims 1-13, in response to a restriction requirement made by telephone.
 During the examination of these claims the examiner decided to withdraw the restriction requirement. Consequently all of the claims have been treated on their merit in this office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rogers in view of Knox.

Rogers discloses in Figs 1-6, a whirling tethered toy assembly, comprising: a tether [control suspending connection 8] having a first end and a second end and a toy [ball 10] coupled to the first end of the tether.

Rogers does not disclose the tether having a first section and a second section, a safety connector disposed between the first end and the second end of the tether and the safety connector has a first half and a second half wherein the first section of the tether is connected to the first half of the safety connector and the second section of the tether is connected to the second half of the safety connector. Additionally, Rogers does not disclose wherein the first half of the safety connector separates from the second half

Application/Control Number: 10/685,555

Art Unit: 3712

of the safety connector when the tether experiences a force in excess of a predetermined maximum force and can be selectively reconnected Once Separated.

Knox teaches the concept of providing a whirling/sawivel toy with a safety connector 14 disposed between the first end and the second end of a tether [rope 11] and the safety connector has a first and second halves 21 wherein the first section 13 of the tether is connected to the first half of the safety connector and the second section 17 of the tether is connected to the second half of the safety connector. Additionally, Knox teaches wherein the first half of the safety connector separates from the second half of the safety connector when the tether experiences a force in excess of a predetermined maximum force [col 3 lines 65-75] and can be selectively reconnected Once Separated [col 4 lines 7-17]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provided the device of Rogers with the safety connector as taught by Knox in order to avoid the undesirable twisting of the tether when it is being manipulated and for added safety in case the device get tangled around the neck of the child.

Also as required by claims 6-7 the first half and second half of the safety connector in the modified device of Rogers is considered to be inherently capable of being separated when the safety connector experiences a bending force that exceeds a predetermined maximum bending force wherein the maximum tension force is greater than the maximum bending force.

4. Claims 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rogers in view of Knox as applied to claims 1-7 above and further in view of Turner.

Application/Control Number: 10/685,555

Art Unit: 3712

Modified device of Rogers has most of the elements of these claims but for an elastic tether.

Turner recognizes a tethered toy assembly comprising an elastic [col 4 lines 13-14 from the bottom] tether. It would have been obvious to provided the modified device of Rogers with the elastic tether as taught by Turner in order make the device more durable.

The method steps recited in claims 10-13 would be met during the normal operation of the apparatus disclosed by modified device of Rogers. They are inherent method of use of the modified device of Rogers.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Faye Francis whose telephone number is 703-306-5941. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on 703-308-1745. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/685,555 Page 5

Art Unit: 3712

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FF

DERRIS H. BANKS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700